

CS 660: Artificial Intelligence for Interactive Narrative

Final Project Grading Rubric

Name: _____

	Poor (1 or 0 points)	Acceptable (2 points)	Excellent (3 points)
The final project deliverable is consistent with what was proposed.	The project is significantly different from what was proposed.	The project is similar to what was proposed, but important changes in the goals or process are different.	The primary goals of the project are consistent with the proposal. Only small and reasonable changes have been made.
The project addresses an interesting interactive narrative challenge.	The project does not clearly engage with the challenges of interactive narrative.	The project is related to but does not directly address the challenges of interactive narratives.	The project clearly engages with one of the challenges of creating interactive narratives.
The project uses interesting artificial intelligence technology.	AI technology is not key to the project. The narrative is produced mainly by human effort.	AI technology is used in the project, but it is not key to the experience. The AI could be removed with relatively little change to the system.	AI plays a key role in the project that could not be easily replaced. The AI contributes interesting content, structure, speed, etc. to the narrative.
The interactions of the user play an important role.	User interaction is minimal, or user interaction does not seem to have a significant effect on the narrative.	User interaction is minimal or opportunities are not clear, but user interaction does clearly affect the narrative.	The opportunities to interact with the system are clear. Different choices would lead to different narratives.
The demonstration of the system showcases its contribution.	The system cannot be demonstrated, or the demonstration does not make the system's contributions clear.	The system can be demonstrated, but the contribution of the AI or how it related to the project's goal is not clear.	The system tells an interactive story that clearly uses AI to address the project's goal, even if the experiment failed.

Claims are clearly stated and evaluated.	The claims this project makes are vague. The evaluation does not clearly support or reject them.	The claims made by the project need to be clarified. The evaluation only indirectly supports or rejects them.	The project makes clear claims and evaluates them. The evidence clearly supports or rejects the claims.
The final presentation is delivered clearly and on time.	The goals of the project, how it was implemented, or the results are not clearly presented. Slides are confusing. The presentation is not finished on time.	The presented describes their system, but some elements, such as the goals, implementation, or results are not clear. The presentation is mostly on time.	The presenter clearly describes their goals, implementation, and results. Slides are clear and the presentation is finished on time.
The final deliverable demonstrates the project results.	It is not clear how to run the deliverable or read the data. Documentation or the writeup is unclear or poorly written.	The final deliverable can be run or read with some effort. Documentation is lacking but well written. It is clear how the deliverable achieves the project's results, even if they are negative.	The results of the project, even if they were negative, are clear from the final deliverable, which may be an artifact, data set, writeup, or some combination.

Total: _____ / 24